AGENDA

25X1

CAREER SERVICE PANEL OFFICE OF RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

3 December 1973

0900 hours 607 - Conference Room

- 1. Review of agenda for 3 December 1973
- 2. Review of minutes for 15 November 1973
- 3. Report from Chief, Support Staff/ORD
- 4. Report from Chairman, CSP/ORD
- 5. Special Panel/ORD

25X1A9A

6. Pay Step Increases (PSI)

25X1A9A

- 7. Competitive Evaluation GS-11's
- 8. Nominee for the Education for Public
 Management Program for 1974-1975
 (Coordinated by Civil Service Commission)
- 9. Nominee for the Executive Development Program at Cornell University 17 June - 26 July 1974
- 10. New business

CONFIDENTIAL

25X1

	Approved For Release 2006/Q1/03::CIA-RDR79-00317A000100060001-3	
		25X1
	CAREER SERVICE PANEL OFFICE OF RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT 3 December 1973	25X1
25X1A9A	Attendees: Chairman C/PAS/ORD C/TCR/ORD C/TCR/ORD C/OT/ORD C/OT/ORD Executive Secretary Recording Secretary	
25X1A9A	Absentees: C/DPR/ORD 25X1A9A C/LSR/ORD	
	 The meeting was called to order by the Chairman at 0907 hours. There was one more item of business added to the Agenda for 3 December 1973: 	
	Discussion on whether Division Chiefs have notified their people about their position at the bottom of the ranking lists for GS-12's. GS-13's, and GS-14's.	
25X1A9A	3 moved to approve the minutes for 15 November 1973 as written seconded the motion. The Panel concurred. Motion carried.	25X1A9A
25X1A9A	4. stated that as an item of business for 15 November 1973, there were individuals identified as being at the bottom of the ranking lists for GS-12's, GS-13's and GS-14's, and they were in "jeopardy" if there is a further reduction in force. stated that this does not mean that these employees were necessarily marginal performers	25X1A9A
25X1A9A	but may be ranked last in a group of exceptionally good performers stated that the Division Chiefs had been instructed to talk with these individuals and inform them of their evaluation also stated that the Division Chiefs have been further instructed to prepare a memorandum for the record of what was discussed with the employee and have the employee sign it.	25X1A9A
1		25X1

Approved For Release 2006/17/06 (CTALRIDET) -00317A000100060001-3

25X1 Approved For Release 2006/01/03 : CIA-RDP79-00317A000100060001-3 25X1A9A 25X1A9A 25X1A9A 5. stated he had talked to and he also had prepared a memorandum which was signed by 25X1A9A]stated he didn't think [belonged at the bottom of the list. He felt that 25X1A9A predicament may be at least partly due to management apathy or ineptitude in dealing with this type of person.
stated he told what he should do to get out of 25X1A9A this position, what he should not do, and suggested he talk 25X1A9A to Dr. Stevens, which | did. 25X1A9A 25X1A9A stated he would talk to 25X1A9A when he returned from the Midcareer Development Course he is now attending, and that the conversation would probably take place after Christmas. 25X1A9A There was no information on what has done about his employees. 25X1A9A 8. asked the Chairman the following What is the response from the DD/S&T Career Service question: Board as to how they feel about employees knowing about where they stand on the evaluation lists? [25X1A9A stated that the Board does a competitive evaluation on Division Chiefs.] asked: Where do they put us? \Box _ replied 25X1A9A that he could not give an answer to this question. 25X1A9A Some of the points brought out in the discussion of employees at the bottom of the ranking lists were: It probably is the job they are doing. (1)ORD should look at this and see if these people might do a better job somewhere else. (2) Supervisor's opinion should be evaluated higher than any other opinions. (1)There should be more latitude withon our ORD Divisions. (2) Supervisors have to play it "straight" 25X1A9A with their people; you have to heap them move. (3)If you can't do something for the employee, you should tell him that too. 25X1A9A 25X1A9A 10. stated he would put ___ on notice that they are supposed to complete their 25X1A9A 25X1

Approved For Release 2006/01/03 : CIA-RDP79-00317A000100060001-3

OOM IDEN LIVE

Approved For Release 2008/01/03.1014/RDP79-00317A00010	00060001-3
10. (Cont'd)	
task of talking with their people who are identifie bottom of the ranking lists. He stated that he wou them a memorandum on this.	ed at the ald send
11. The report from the C/SS/PMS/ORD was as f	ollows:
PROMOTIONS:	
25X1A9A , C/OTD/ORD from GS-15 to GS-16	
TRAINING NOTES:	
25X1A9A a. has been approved to attempt to 1 March 1974.	tend anuary 1974
b. has been approved to a the DD/S&T Career Development Course from 5 to May 1974.	attend January
25X1A9A 12. reported as Chairman of the Specion the following action:	ial Panel
Promotion recommendation for, T from GS-5 to GS-6 had been approved by the Sp Panel and was forwarded to D/ORD for his appr	TCR/ORD 25X1A9A pecial roval.
by the Division Chiefs as meritorious of receiving a Quality Step Increase which was as follows:	ubmitted
1. 2. 3. 4. 25X1A9A 5.	25X1A9A
and it has been broken down to two groups:	Stevens,
OFFICE AWARD:	
This is a certificate which will be issued under name of Office of Research and Development and will be Certificate of Commendation.	r the be a

3

	Approved For Release 2000/10013-00-00317A000100060001-3	
		25X1
	13. (Continued)	
	The following people were selected to receive the Office Award:	
	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	
	The following people were selected to receive Quality Step Increases:	
	1. 25X1A9A 2. 3.	
	The following people were considered for one award or the other and were eliminated:	
25X1A9A	1. 2. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12.	25X1A9A
25X1A9A	14. Discussion followed on the advisability of awarding Qūālity Step Increases at the same time that the Office Awards are given out stated for the Panel's information that since 1970, ORD has averaged five to six Quality Step Increases per year. He also pointed out that there was no limit as to how many Quality Step Increases could be awarded.	25X1A9A
	15. suggested that since the ORD Quality Step Increase Committee is making a study in order to establish criteria on how these awards should be granted, he suggested that the Quality Step Increases not be given at this time.	
25X1A9A	16. stated he would talk to Dr. Stevens about what was said on the awards at this meeting, and he would tell him the following:	
	a. Division Chiefs have reservations about the Office Award list, and they have alterations to suggest on how it is being done.	
	4	

b. The Panel members feel that the procedure to be followed might be contraproductive to what the D/ORD is trying to do. 25X1A9A 25X1A9A			Approved For Release 2006/03/03/03/03/03/03/03/03/03/03/03/03/03/	
b. The Panel members feel that the procedure to be followed might be contraproductive to what the D/ORD is trying to do. c. stated he will recommend that the Division Chiefs meet with the D/ORD for discussion on the awards. 25X1A9A 25				25X1
25X1A9A 25X			16. (Continued)	
the Division Chiefs meet with the D/ORD for discussion on the awards. 25X1A9A 25X1			25X1A9A to what the D/ORD is trying to do.	
25X1A9A 25X		25 V 1 A O A	the Division Chiefs meet with the D/ORD	25X1A9A
25X1A9A 25X			LOIG FAILER MEMBERS TRAFT	٦
25X1A9A		23/1/3/	GS-14 ranking list. In light of this it was necessary for	
memorandum will be coordinated with Special Activities Staff/OP. Stated	2	25X1A9A	stated that has written	
25X1A9A	2	5X1A9A		O.D.
25X1A9A	25	X1A9A	I JUGUEU I NGC GIITAN I c.	
25X1A9A	25	X1A9A	supervisor,	
25X1A9A	2	5X1A9A	for guidance to report almost daily to	
generally, the PSI is treated as a "rubber stamp" exercise, 25X1A9A this should not be done. the PSI's are not signed, it becomes quite complicated. Conscientious in approving the step increase or not approving inconsequential routine. (Copies of Withholding of Step Increases were circulated to the Panel members. 18. After discussion on the Competitive Evaluation - See attachment.) 18. After discussion on the Competitive Evaluation - Promotability Lists attached.) 25X1A9A 19 moved that since the people on the competitive evaluation list for GS-11's are in different professions that the CSP not rank them but just list them as performing satisfactorily, and rely on the Division Chief to monitor their performance and recommend promotion. seconded the motion. The Panel concurred unanimously. Motion 25X1A9A 20 The GS-11's are listed in alphabetical order as	25	X1A9A	nrojects nrojects supervision and is keeping strong control on	25X1A9A
the PSI's are not signed, it becomes quite complicated. conscientious in approving the step increase or not approving it; he felt these actions should not be treated as inconsequential routine. (Copies of Step Increases were circulated to the Panel members. 18. After discussion on the Competitive Evaluation - Promotability Lists attached.) 25X1A9A 19. moved that since the people on the competitive evaluation ist for GS-11's are in different professions that the CSP not rank them but just list them as performing satisfactorily, and rely on the Division Chief to monitor their performance and recommend promotion. monitor their performance and recommend promotion. 25X1A9A 20 The GS-11's are listed in alphabetical order as		25X1A9A	generally, the PSI is treated as a "rubber stamp" exercise.	25X1A9A
conscientious in approving the step increase or not approving it; he felt these actions should not be treated as inconsequential routine. (Copies of			the PSI's are not signed, it becomes quite compliant if	25X1A9A
inconsequential routine. (Copies of Withholding of Step Increases were circulated to the Panel members. 18. After discussion on the Competitive Evaluation - GS-11's, the following decision was made. (Evaluation & Promotability Lists attached.) 25X1A9A 19 moved that since the people on the competitive evaluation list for GS-11's are in different professions that the CSP not rank them but just list them as performing satisfactorily, and rely on the Division Chief to monitor their performance and recommend promotion monitor their performance and recommend promotion. 25X1A9A 20 The GS-11's are listed in alphabetical order as	2	5X1A9A	conscientious in approving the step income	
of Step Increases were circulated to the Panel members. 18. After discussion on the Competitive Evaluation - GS-11's, the following decision was made. (Evaluation & Promotability Lists attached.) 25X1A9A 19 moved that since the people on the competitive evaluation list for GS-11's are in different professions that the CSP not rank them but just list them as performing satisfactorily, and rely on the Division Chief to monitor their performance and recommend promotion				
Promotability Lists attached.) 25X1A9A 19	1		of Step Increases were circulated to the Borel withholding	25X1
competitive evaluation list for GS-11's are in different professions that the CSP not rank them but just list them as performing satisfactorily, and rely on the Division Chief to monitor their performance and recommend promotion. seconded the motion. The Panel concurred unanimously. Motion 25X1A9A 20 The GS-11's are listed in alphabetical order as			TOTIONTID HELICIDID MAC MANA (Date 1	
25X1A9A 20 The GS-11's are listed in alphabetical order as	2	25X1A9A ·	competitive evaluation list for GS-11's are in different professions that the CSP not rank them but just list them as performing satisfactorily, and rely on the Division Chief to monitor their performance and recommend promotion.	
	25	X1A9A	20 The GS-11's are listed in alphabetical	25X1A9A
and, PAS/ORD;, OT/ORD;			DPR/ORD:	

1		
	Approved For Release 2006/01/03 CIA-RDP79-00317A000100060001-3	25X 25X1A9A
	21. asked , C/TCR if he wanted some orientation after the meeting on the procedures to	25X1A9/
	22. There were no ORD nominees for the Education for Public Management Program for the 1974-1975 Academic Year.	
	23. There were no ORD nominees for the Executive Development Program at Cornell University from 117 June - 26 July 1974.	
25X1A9A	24. The next meeting was scheduled for 14 January 1974. 25. moved to adjourn the meeting.	
25X1A9A	seconded the motion. Meeting adjourned at	
	Date Executive Secretary, CSP Office of Research and Development	25X1A9A
	APPROVED:	
25X1A9A ,	Chairman, Career Service Panel/ORD 7 Dec 1973 Date	
	DRAFT COMPLETED: 4 December 1973 6 1400 hours	

25X1

FINAL COMPLETED AFTER REVIEW:
7 December 1973

Approved For Release 2006/01/03: GIA-RDP79-00317A 0001000600005\$-3

Approved For Release 2006/01/03: CIA-RDP79-00317A000100060001-3

CONTIDENTIAL

OFFICE OF RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

25X1

COMPETITIVE EVALUATION

		•	Ar r	25X1A9A	<u>GS-11's</u>	5_		•			
	25X1A9A								حسيب	TOTAL POINT	s
RANK			*	1	**	ŀ	¥	***	ī	4	
2				2		2	3		3	10	
3				3		3	2		2	10	

COMMENTS:

- * These people should not be reviewed by this process. I recommend that we evaluate each separately after a recommendation by their supervisors.
- ** Did not want to evaluate at this time because he does not know the people.
- *** As of this date, I do not know any of the three individuals listed and would not expect to have frequent contact with them in any case. Even if I did have a superficial knowledge of them -(through peripheral contact or deliberate "interviews") I would feel that my rapid assessment should not have the potentially large effect on their careers that such a ranking might have. This represents to me a serious flaw in the CSP ranking system.

25X1

CONTINUENTIAL

2

OFFICE OF	RESEARCH AND DEVELOP		<u> </u>		
			BILITY LIST		
5 K 1A9A	December 1973 1. (36 months)	1	November 1974 1 (48 months) 1 (60 months)	January 1975 (62 months)	January 197
COMMENTS:	25X1 No opinion from 25X1A9A No opinion from		od nonding tweinin	g and growth.	

Approved For Release 2006/01/03: CIA-RDP79-00317A000100060001-3

Approved For Rele 79-00317A000100060001-3

OFFICE OF RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

PROMOTABILITY LIST

25X1A9A

COMMENTS ON : (Continued)

: No place for promotion within ORD.

: Promotion not available.

: Position does not warrant promotion beyond GS-11.

2